

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 10 2006

REMARKS

In response to the Office Action dated April 10, 2006, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration based on the above amendment and the following remarks. Applicants respectfully submit that the claims as presented are in condition for allowance.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 9-17 and 19, 20 and 22-24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Applicants' admitted Prior Art (APA) in view of Kikinis and Crosbie. This rejection is traversed for the following reasons.

Claim 1 as amended recites, *inter alia*, "wherein the front-end voice server determines if the user is an authorized user in response to the user's voice matching a voice exemplar of an authorized user; wherein the front-end data server determines if the user is an authorized user in response to a user password matching a password of an authorized user." None of APA, Kikinis or Crosbie teaches or suggests these features.

APA was relied upon for disclosing a supervisor interacting with one or more resources. In the APA, the supervisor calls a colleague and the colleague interacts with resources on the supervisor's behalf. Thus, the supervisor in the APA does not interact with the resources through a mobile gateway interface comprising a front-end voice server, a front-end data server, and a transaction server.

Kikinis was relied upon for disclosing login request and security checks for users accessing a WAP gateway. The Examiner acknowledges that APA and Kikinis fail to teach the front-end voice server and the front-end data server. Crosbie is relied on as allegedly teaching these features. In applying Crosbie, the Examiner cites to the gateway server 22 in Crosbie as corresponding to the claimed front-end voice server and front-end

00355
BLL-0219

10

data server. The gateway server 22 in Crosbie authenticates users, but does not use voice exemplars as recited in claim 1. Thus, even if APA, Kikinis and Crosbie are combined, the subject matter of claim 1 does not result.

For at least the above reasons claim 1 is patentable over APA in view of Kikinis and Crosbie. Claims 2, 9, 10 and 22 depend from claim 1 and are patentable over APA in view Kikinis and Crosbie for at least the reasons advanced with respect to claim 1.

Independent claim 11 recites "wherein the front-end voice server determines if the user is an authorized user in response to the user's voice matching a voice exemplar of an authorized user; wherein the front-end data server determines if the user is an authorized user in response to a user password matching a password of an authorized user." As discussed above with reference to claim 1, none of APA, Kikinis and Crosbie teaches or suggests this feature. For at least these reasons, claim 11 is patentable over APA in view of Kikinis and Crosbie. Claims 6, 14 and 15 depend from claim 11 and are patentable over APA in view Kikinis and Crosbie for at least the reasons advanced with respect to claim 11.

Independent claim 16 recites "wherein the front-end voice server determines if the user is an authorized user in response to the user's voice matching a voice exemplar of an authorized user; wherein the front-end data server determines if the user is an authorized user in response to a user password matching a password of an authorized user." As discussed above with reference to claim 1, none of APA, Kikinis and Crosbie teaches or suggests this feature. For at least these reasons, claim 16 is patentable over APA in view of Kikinis and Crosbie. Claims 17, 19, 20 and 24 depend from claim 16 and are patentable over APA in view Kikinis and Crosbie for at least the reasons advanced with

respect to claim 16.

Independent claim 23 recites "wherein the front-end voice server determines if the user is an authorized user in response to the user's voice matching a voice exemplar of an authorized user; wherein the front-end data server determines if the user is an authorized user in response to a user password matching a password of an authorized user." As discussed above with reference to claim 1, none of APA, Kikinis and Crosbie teaches or suggests this feature. For at least these reasons, claim 23 is patentable over APA in view of Kikinis and Crosbie.

Claim 18 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Applicants' admitted Prior Art (APA) in view of Kikinis and Crosbie and Karlsson. Claim 18 is dependent on claim 16 and is patentable over Applicants' admitted Prior Art (APA) in view of Kikinis and Crosbie and Karlsson for at least the reasons discussed above with reference to claim 16.

Claims 3, 7 and 25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Applicants' admitted Prior Art (APA) in view of Kikinis and Crosbie and House. Claims 3, 7 and 25 depend from claims 1, 11 and 16, respectively, and are patentable for at least the reasons advanced with respect to claims 1, 11 and 16.

Claims 4, 8 and 26 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Applicants' admitted Prior Art (APA) in view of Kikinis and Crosbie and Rosse. Claims 4, 8 and 26 depend from claims 1, 11 and 16, respectively, and are patentable for at least the reasons advanced with respect to claims 1, 11 and 16.

Claims 5, 21 and 27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Applicants' admitted Prior Art (APA) in view of Kikinis and Crosbie and Rickli.

Claims 5, 21 and 27 depend from claims 1, 11 and 16, respectively, and are patentable for at least the reasons advanced with respect to claims 1, 11 and 16.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, Applicants submit that the above-identified application is now in condition for allowance. Early notification to this effect is respectfully requested.

If there are any charges with respect to this response or otherwise, please charge them to Deposit Account 06-1130 maintained by Applicants' attorneys.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

David A. Fox
Registration No. 38,807
CANTOR COLBURN LLP
55 Griffin Road South
Bloomfield, CT 06002
Telephone (860) 286-2929
Facsimile (860) 286-0115
Customer No. 36192

Date: July 10, 2006